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Motivation

- *Elementary Basic: Learning to Program your Computer in Basic with Sherlock Holmes* is an introductory book on programming from 1982 with an interesting central conceit . . .

- I have seen several introductory programming books and articles from the 1980s, but none like this.

- Looking beyond the presentation, the technical content and its structure is atypical too.

- What can we learn from an unusual source like this?

- How does it compare with modern books or with other books of its time?
Central Conceit

- Sherlock Holmes used Charles Babbage’s Analytical Engine to assist in solving various mysteries.
- The authors have supposedly discovered unpublished manuscripts detailing Watson’s discussions with Holmes on these ventures into programming.
- The manuscripts have been translated into BASIC for the benefit of the modern reader.
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Historical Context

- By the early 1980s, commercially produced home computers were available to the public at reasonable prices:
  - 1977 Trinity — Apple II, Commodore PET, TRS-80
  - 1982 — Commodore 64, ZX Spectrum, BBC Micro
- These computers came with BASIC built in.
- The BASIC interpreter typically doubled as the operating system.
- Books and articles in magazines on how to program were widespread.
- Authors of Elementary Basic questioned whether the world really needed yet another text on programming.
Structure of the Book

- Structure of the book: sequence of increasingly complex programs.
  - Not organised around introduction of standard language features!
- Structure of a typical chapter:
  - After some setup, Holmes discusses with Watson an aspect of a mystery that could be solved easily using a computer.
  - Holmes presents pseudo-code for solving the problem, followed by a BASIC implementation.
  - Watson asks questions about new ideas or confusing parts of the program, which Holmes answers.
  - The chapter concludes with an “out of character” summary of any new language features introduced.
About the Authors

Who wrote this book?

▶ Henry Ledgard
  ▶ PhD in CS from MIT; postdoc at Oxford
  ▶ Part of the ADA design team
▶ Andrew Singer
  ▶ PhD in CS from Massachusetts
  ▶ Was an editor of short-lived ROM magazine
▶ Non-credited authors played substantial roles in book’s development . . .
  ▶ . . . in particular in writing the pastiches of Sherlock Holmes stories.

Lesson: If you want to write an interesting book for a general audience, you might need someone skilled primarily as a writer.
The authors claimed that:

- **programming is difficult. . . [but] the basis of programming stems from a few elementary ideas;**
- the dialogue format of the book is an easy to follow and enjoyable exposition of those ideas;
- and the best method to teach programming is through problems.
The key idea behind the book is certainly novel . . .

- . . . and it makes people want to read it.

The dialogue format is also unusual.

- The idea of using a dialogue to expose ideas is very old.
- It allows possible points of contention or causes of confusion to be explored in a natural way.
- Why is it not used more often? (Not just in books.)
Problems to Motivate Programming

Problems that can be solved using a computer are presented and solved.

- Can be more engaging than typical “how to add a list of numbers” example programs.
- Would it have been more engaging to its audience than writing a text adventure or Space Invaders clone?
- Not necessarily the case that new programs introduce new language features; it might just be increased complexity.
- Distinct approach from most introductory programming texts then and now.

Note this is not problem-based learning:

- Little attempt to engage reader in exploratory thinking and problem solving.
- No suggested exercises for the reader to try.
Problems to Motivate Programming

Here are some of the programs from the book:

- Solving a murder from clues.
- Calculating number of tide cycles between dates and times.
- Turning Julian days into date and month.
- Calculating molecular weight of a compound from its formula.
- Formatting and displaying a coroner’s report.
- Searching a flat file database for a matching criminal record.

Although the setting is interesting, many of the programs end up being rather pedestrian.
Focus on Program Development

Types:
- Types as enforced by the language.
- Types as intended by the programmer.

Top-down design:
- In vogue at the time.
- Emphasised throughout the book.
- Rare to discuss design methods much at all in other introductory books of the time.

Code hygiene:
- Use descriptive variable names.
- Add comments.
- Use indentation meaningfully.
How BASIC Hinders the Book

BASIC was the most popular language of its day with good reason:

- Relatively easy to implement an interpreter in a computer with little memory and processing power.
- English-like keywords make it less frightening to beginners.
- Lack of enforced structure means you can start programming immediately.

Today, it is popular to criticise BASIC. That is not my intention. Nonetheless:

- Some aspects of BASIC make it difficult to write complex programs.
- This shows the choice of language is significant in introducing programming.
- There was also a version of this book in Pascal.
  - Was it any good?
  - Did anyone read it?
Focus on Program Development (revisited)

Types:
- Types as enforced by the language. Very few language types.
- Types as intended by the programmer.

Top-down design:
- In vogue at the time.
- Emphasised throughout the book.
- Rare to discuss design methods much at all in other introductory books of the time.
- Difficult to add lines in middle of program — difficult to refine.

Code hygiene:
- Use descriptive variable names. Some BASICs only support short names.
- Add comments. Uses up valuable memory.
- Use indentation meaningfully. Discarded by many implementations.
One of the most popular criticisms of BASIC is its emphasis on GOTO. But:

▶ Sometimes useful if you don’t have the high-level control structure you want.
▶ You can still do structured programming — as this book shows.
▶ Many implementations supported for/while loops.
▶ Being able to jump is a necessary concession to difficulty of inserting lines.

In my opinion, limited or no support for compound datatypes or dynamic allocation of data structures is a far bigger disadvantage.
Solving a Murder: The Problem

The clues were as follows:

2. The man occupying Room 14 had black hair.
3. Either Colonel Woodley or Sir Raymond wore a pince-nez.
4. Mr. Pope always carried a gold pocket watch.
5. One of the suspects was seen driving a four-wheel carriage.
6. The man with the pince-nez had brown hair.
7. Mr. Holman wore a ruby signet ring.
8. The man in Room 16 had tattered cuffs.
9. Mr. Holman occupied Room 12.
10. The man with tattered cuffs had red hair.
11. The man in Room 12 had grey hair.
12. The man with a gold pocket watch occupied Room 14.
13. Colonel Woodley occupied a corner room.
14. The murderer had brown hair.
## Solving a Murder: Top-Down Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUSPECT</th>
<th>COLONEL WOODLEY</th>
<th>MR. HOLMAN</th>
<th>MR. POPE</th>
<th>SIR RAYMOND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hair Colour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Assume that nothing is known.
2. Establish the known clues.
3. Do the following:
   - try the remaining clues
   - until the murderer is known.
4. Give the name of the murderer.
Solving a Murder: Classifying the Clues

Clues establishing known facts:

2. Mr. Pope always carried a gold pocket watch.
3. Mr. Holman wore a ruby signet ring.
4. Mr. Holman occupied Room 12.

The remaining clues:

5. The man occupying Room 14 had black hair.
6. Either Colonel Woodley or Sir Raymond wore a pince-nez.
7. The man with the pince-nez had brown hair.
8. The man with tattered cuffs had red hair.
9. The man in Room 16 had tattered cuffs.
10. The man in Room 12 had grey hair.
11. The man with the gold pocket watch occupied Room 14.
12. Colonel Woodley occupied a corner room.
13. The murderer had brown hair.
Solving a Murder: “Algorithm”

Definitions:

HAIR : row of hair colours for the suspects
ATTIRE : row of attire characteristics for the suspects
ROOM : row of room numbers for the suspects
SUSPECT, MURDERER: one of the suspects

Algorithm:

Set all table entries and MURDERER to unknown

Let ROOM of SIR_RAYMOND = 10
Let ATTIRE of MR_POPE = GOLD_WATCH
Let ATTIRE of MR_HOLMAN = RUBY_RING
Let ROOM of MR_HOLMAN = 12
Solving a Murder: "Algorithm"

Do the following:

if ROOM of some SUSPECT = 14 then
    let HAIR of SUSPECT = BLACK
if ATTIRE of SIR_RAYMOND ≠ PINCENEZ then
    let ATTIRE of COL_WOODLEY = PINCENEZ
if ATTIRE of COL_WOODLEY ≠ PINCENEZ then
    let ATTIRE of SIR_RAYMOND = PINCENEZ
if ATTIRE of some SUSPECT = PINCENEZ then
    let HAIR of SUSPECT = BROWN
if ATTIRE of some SUSPECT = TATTERED_CUFFS then
    let HAIR of SUSPECT = RED
if ROOM of some SUSPECT = 16 then
    let ATTIRE of SUSPECT = TATTERED_CUFFS
if ROOM of some SUSPECT = 12 then
    let HAIR of SUSPECT = GREY
if ATTIRE of some SUSPECT = GOLD_WATCH then
    let ROOM of SUSPECT = 14

if ROOM of some SUSPECT = 10
    and SUSPECT ≠ COL_WOODLEY then
    let ROOM of COL_WOODLEY = 16
if ROOM of some SUSPECT = 16
    and SUSPECT ≠ COL_WOODLEY then
    let ROOM of COL_WOODLEY = 10

if HAIR of some SUSPECT = BROWN then
    let MURDERER = SUSPECT

until the MURDERER is known

Print the name of the MURDERER
Solving a Murder: Code

0010 REM -- THIS PROGRAMME EXAMINES THE CLUES
0020 REM -- GIVEN FOR THE MURDER IN THE METROPOLITAN CLUB.
0030 REM -- THE THREE ARRAYS, H$ FOR HAIR, A$ FOR ATTIRE, AND
0040 REM -- R$ FOR ROOM, ARE USED TO ESTABLISH THE FACTS
0050 REM -- AS THEY ARE DETERMINED.
0060 REM -- THE PROGRAM PRINTS THE NAME OF THE MURDERER.
0070 REM
0080 REM -- DICTIONARY OF NAMES:
0090 REM
0100 REM -- S  ONE OF THE SUSPECTS, 1 THROUGH 4
0110 REM -- M  THE MURDERER, A SUSPECT FROM 1 TO 4; 0 IF UNKNOWN
0120 REM -- H$  ARRAY OF HAIR COLOURS
0130 REM -- A$  ARRAY OF ATTIRE CHARACTERISTICS
0140 REM -- R$  ARRAY OF ROOM NUMBERS
0150 REM
0160 REM -- 1 DENOTES COLONEL WOODLEY, 2 DENOTES MR. HOLMAN
0170 REM -- 3 DENOTES MR. POPE, 4 DENOTES SIR RAYMOND
0180 REM
0190 DIM H$(4), A$(4), R$(4)
0200 REM
Solving a Murder: Code

0210 REM -- ASSUME NOTHING IS KNOWN
0220 LET M = 0
0230 FOR S = 1 TO 4
0240 LET H$(S) = "UNKNOWN"
0250 LET A$(S) = "UNKNOWN"
0260 LET R$(S) = "UNKNOWN"
0270 NEXT S

0280 REM
0290 REM -- ESTABLISH KNOWN CLUES
0300 LET R$(4) = "ROOM_10"
0310 LET A$(3) = "GOLD_WATCH"
0320 LET A$(2) = "RUBY_RING"
0330 LET R$(2) = "ROOM_12"

0340 REM
0350 LET S = 1
0360 REM -- REPEATEDLY TRY THE REMAINING CLUES
0370 IF R$(S) <> "ROOM_14" THEN 0390
0380 LET H$(S) = "BLACK"
0390 IF A$(4) = "UNKNOWN" THEN 0420
0400 IF A$(4) = "PINCENEZ" THEN 0420
0410 LET A$(1) = "PINCENEZ"
Solving a Murder: Code

0420 IF A$(1) = "UNKNOWN" THEN 0450
0430 IF A$(1) = "PINCENEZ" THEN 0450
0440 LET A$(4) = "PINCENEZ"
0450 IF A$(S) <> "PINCENEZ" THEN 0470
0460 LET H$(S) = "BROWN"
0470 IF A$(S) <> "TATTERED_CUFFS" THEN 0490
0480 LET H$(S) = "RED"
0490 IF R$(S) <> "ROOM_16" THEN 0510
0500 LET A$(S) = "TATTERED_CUFFS"
0510 IF R$(S) <> "ROOM_12" THEN 0530
0520 LET H$(S) = "GREY"
0530 IF A$(S) <> "GOLD_WATCH" THEN 0550
0540 LET R$(S) = "ROOM_14"
0550 IF R$(S) <> "ROOM_10" THEN 0580
0560 IF S = 1 THEN 0580
0570 LET R$(1) = "ROOM_16"
0580 IF R$(S) <> "ROOM_16" THEN 0610
0590 IF S = 1 THEN 0610
0600 LET R$(1) = "ROOM_10"
0610 IF H$(S) <> "BROWN" THEN 0650
0620 LET M = S
Solving a Murder: Code

0630 REM
0640 REM    -- TRY NEXT SUSPECT
0650 IF S = 4 THEN 0680
0660    LET S = S + 1
0670 GOTO 0700
0680 LET S = 1
0690 GOTO 0700
0700 IF M = 0 THEN 0370
0710 REM
0720 REM    -- PRINT THE NAME OF THE MURDERER
0730 IF M <> 1 THEN 0750
0740 PRINT "THE MURDERER IS COLONEL WOODLEY."
0750 IF M <> 2 THEN 0770
0760 PRINT "THE MURDERER IS MR. HOLMAN."
0770 IF M <> 3 THEN 0790
0780 PRINT "THE MURDERER IS MR. POPE."
0790 IF M <> 4 THEN 0810
0800 PRINT "THE MURDERER IS SIR RAYMOND."
0810 STOP
0820 END
Conclusions

How is programming to be taught?

- Programming books should be written in collaboration with writers.
- Dialogues are an appealing method of development and exposition of ideas.
- Programming through problem-solving can be more engaging.
- Choice of programming language does matter.
- There ought to be a clearer distinction between teaching a language and teaching programming.
Conclusions

Are we getting better at writing programs that solve the given problem?

▶ The complexity of problems in introductory texts today would suggest so.

Is programming a specialist discipline, or will everyone in the future be a programmer?

▶ I claim the reverse: much of what would be done with "programming" in the past would be done with a spreadsheet or database today.
Chivalric Coding with King Arthur and Merlin
Chivalric Coding with King Arthur and Merlin

Thanks for listening. Comments and questions?